|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Strongly Disagree | Somewhat Disagree | Somewhat Agree | Strongly Agree |
| a. The writeup describes the data and why the group chose to use that data. |  |  |  | X |
| b. This writeup gives enough detail that I could follow it to replicate what the group did (in terms of what words they eliminated; whether they stemmed words, etc.) |  |  | X |  |
| c. Overall, the writeup convinces me that the analysis the group conducted was technically sound. |  |  |  | X |
| d. The writeup was well organized and readable, communicating clearly to the reader. |  |  |  | X |
| e. The syntax and grammar of the writeup were clear and sound. |  |  | X |  |

1. Clear and concise explanation of the process.
2. There is no much detail in this part but the provided information can be sufficient for replicating the study. For example, the information about including stop words is mentioned but there is no much information about whether special characters were accepted as words. Also, we don’t know if they used any package like NLTK in this study.
3. There are several typos and grammatical issues but the general document is very easy to read and understand.